AI and Digital Distraction: Impact of Smart Technologies on Time and Health Management

[1]Iqra Farhain Nawaz, [2]Muhammad Fahad, [3]Muhammad Umer Qayyum, [4]Joshan keshavelal, [5*]Khalid Hamid, [6]Younus Khan, [7]Muhammad Ibrar, [8]Muhammad Waseem Iqbal

Article Details

Iqra Farhain Nawaz
Department of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, New Mexico Highlands University, Las Vegas, NM; Iqrafarhain@gmail.com

Muhammad Fahad
MS Information Technology, Washington University of Science and Technology, Alexandria VA; fahadmohmand101@gmail.com, Fahad.student@wust.edu

Muhammad Umer Qayyum
MS Information Technology, Washington University of Science and Technology, Alexandria VA; qayyum.student@wust.edu

Joshan keshavelal
Department of Computer Science, North American University Houston Texas; Lakhanijoshan12@gmail.com

Khalid Hamid*
Department of Computer Science and Information Technology, Superior University Lahore, Lahore, 54000, Pakistan. Corresponding Author Email: khalid6140@gmail.com

Younus Khan
Department of Computer and Mathematical Sciences New Mexico Highlands University, Las Vegas, NM; Ynyuskhan464@gmail.com

Muhammad Ibrar
Department of Computer and Mathematical Sciences New Mexico Highlands University, Las Vegas, NM; Mibrar@live.nmhu.edu

Muhammad Waseem Iqbal
Department of Computer Science and Information Technology, Superior University Lahore, Lahore, 54000, Pakistan; waseem.iqbal@superior.edu.pk

ABSTRACT

In today's digitally interconnected world, individuals are increasingly confronted with the pervasive challenge of digital distraction and time wasting, posing significant implications for personal productivity, cognitive well-being, and societal functioning. This research investigates the multifaceted nature of digital distraction, exploring its underlying mechanisms, consequences, and potential interventions across diverse contexts. Drawing upon insights from cognitive psychology, human-computer interaction, and sociology, we adopt an interdisciplinary approach to unravel the complex interplay between individual characteristics, environmental factors, and technological influences on attentional control and time management. The study begins by delineating the problem statement, identifying digital distraction as a prominent issue undermining individuals' ability to allocate attention effectively and manage their time efficiently amidst the constant barrage of digital stimuli. We highlight the research gap surrounding the nuanced understanding of digital distraction phenomena and its implications for individual and collective well-being, emphasizing the need for empirical investigation into its causes, consequences, and mitigating strategies. By establishing connections with existing literature in cognitive psychology, sociology, and human-computer interaction, we situate our inquiry within a broader theoretical framework that integrates insights from diverse disciplinary perspectives. Specifically, we examine the cognitive mechanisms underlying individuals' susceptibility to digital distractions, the socio-cultural factors shaping technology-related behaviors, and the design features of digital platforms influencing attentional engagement and task performance. Through a sequence of empirical research involving surveys, experiments, and qualitative interviews, we aim to clarify the patterns, predictors, and consequences of digital distraction and time waste in different contexts, such as schools, workplace settings, and individual digital routines. Additionally, we suggest evidence-based interventions designed to counteract the adverse effects of digital distraction, promote more mindful tech behavior, and improve personal well-being and productivity. In summary, this study adds depth of understanding to digital distraction and time-wasting phenomena in the digital era, providing insights into the underlying processes fueling these activities and guiding effective strategies for individuals, organizations, and policymakers who must navigate the threats posed by technology-driven distractions in modern society. On the other hand, on the behalf of open-ended questions, the above results of the survey, interview, and social media survey reveal that with the distraction of artificial intelligence and digital media, there is also a satisfaction level when users play AI games and using AI-platform for their routine works, professional and office activities with efficiency and effectiveness.

INTRODUCTION

The problem statement here concerns the rising incidence of digital distraction and its negative effect on time management and productivity. Digital distractions refer to a broad range of stimuli such as notifications, social media posts, online entertainment, and email notifications that vie for individuals' attention and interfere with their concentration on critical tasks [1].

➤ Notwithstanding the growing acknowledgment of the problem, there has been a significant research gap in comprehending the underlying processes that cause digital distraction and its impacts on individuals' capacity to effectively manage time [2].

➤ Although most studies have examined the psychological and behavioral dimensions of using technology [3].

➤ There is scant empirical research that is particularly concerned with the overlap between digital distraction and time-wasting across domains, including education, workplaces, and everyday life [4].

This research draws on and expands the findings of earlier research examining related issues including multitasking behavior, attention management, and the influence of technology on cognitive functioning. Through combining knowledge from different disciplinary arenas, such as psychology, sociology, and human-computer interaction, we intend to build an integrated picture of the multifaceted dynamics that underlie digital distraction and time-wasting phenomena. This research delves into the complex relationship between human behavior and technology utilization in today's society. Earlier research has shed light on a number of different aspects of digital distraction, from the psychological processes behind attentional control to the broader social consequences of widespread smartphone use. Additionally, sociological and communication research has pointed to the social aspects of technology consumption, inquiring about how online platforms influence social interaction, identity creation, and cultural practices. By placing our research in this socio-cultural background, we hope to examine the ways in which social norms, peer pressure, and social expectations affect people's use of digital technologies and their vulnerability to distraction [5].

The major task of this study is to research the trends, reasons, and effects of digital distraction and the wastage of time in various contexts. Identify typical causes of digital distractions and determine their prevalence in different populations; explore the psychological and cognitive mechanisms that underlie individual vulnerability to digital distractions; explore

the impact of digital distractions on time management, productivity, and self-reported quality of life; consider potential actions and interventions to reduce the negative impacts of digital distractions and encourage more mindful use of technology products; hypothesize that higher levels of digital distractions are associated with poorer time management, lower productivity, higher stress, and dissatisfaction. Ways to solve this issue might be to run digital detox programs, arrange workshops to boost time management skills and build simple and convenient tools for using technology. The main aim is to understand the many aspects of digital distractions and time waste and show how they affect both individual health and national performance. Using an approach that checks for all possible effects, we give useful suggestions to anyone struggling with digital distractions. It is significant because it might bring evidence-based strategies to counter the effects of digital changes and improve both personal productivity and happiness [6][7].

Educators, employers, policymakers and individuals themselves can all benefit from the findings of this research as they manage technology use safely and positively. Our purpose is to inform others about this issue and give them helpful ways to manage their online activities in a smarter and more enjoyable way. As well as digital detox training and time management classes, introducing games that help youths form healthy habits with technology might solve the problem [8]. By having incentives and social elements in games, gamification can divert users from distractions by motivating them to interact with technology mindfully. This method leverages principles of intrinsic motivation and behavioral reinforcement to foster self-regulation and attentiveness in digital use patterns. Even with the strict methodological strategy followed in this study, some limitations must be noted [9].

FIGURE 1: PRECEDENCE OF AI AND DIGITAL DISTRACTION

First of all, the use of self-report measures during interviews can result in response biases, i.e., social desirability or memory recall bias, that may impinge on the validity of reported digital distraction behavior. Second, the applicability of findings can be constrained by sample factors, i.e., regional or cultural variations, as well as the participation of mostly young subjects [10]. In addition, the evolving nature of technology and digital trends can make some of the finding's time-sensitive, requiring continuous monitoring and adjusting of intervention strategies to continue being effective in the fast-changing digital environment [11][12].

LITERATURE REVIEW

The study involves an extensive synthesis of current research within cognitive psychology, sociology, and human-computer interaction, explaining crucial theoretical models and empirical

observations pertinent to the study of digital distraction and time-wasting among youth. Based on key texts from researchers like Turkle (2011) and Nass et al. (2015), the review emphasizes how young people's digital behaviors are produced by the intricate interplay between their cognition, social lives, and technology affordances [1][2]. Additionally, through a review of recent research on the effects of digital distractions on school performance (Rosen et al., 2013) and mental health outcomes (Twenge, 2017), the review highlights the urgency to adopt inter-disciplinary methods to tackle the multi-faceted issues presented by over-exposure to technology among the young [3-5].

A review of extant research yields conflicting findings and methodological approaches to studying digital distraction and time wastage among children. While some studies have addressed the adverse effect of excess screen use on cognition and mental well-being (e.g., Przybylski & Weinstein, 2017), others have identified the positive contribution of technology-aided learning and social engagement (e.g., Kross et al., 2013). Also, variation in sample populations, methodologies, and outcome measures all give rise to inconsistencies across studies and underscore the complexity of the digital distraction phenomenon as well as the need for balanced explanation within specific contextual paradigms [6-8, 9-12].

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

TABLE 1: COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS SAMPLE OF STUDIES ON DIGITAL DISTRACTION AND TIME-WASTING IN YOUTH

Study	Authors /Year	Objective	Sample /Population	Methodology	Key Findings	Limitations
Study 1	Rosen et al. (2013) [13]	To investigate the impact of multitasking with digital devices on academic	High school and college students (N=263)	Survey and observational study	High digital multitasking correlates with lower GPA and concentration issues.	Self-reported data; limited generalizability

Study	Authors /Year	Objective	Sample /Population	Methodology	Key Findings	Limitations
		performance				
Study 2	Lepp et al. (2015) [14]	Examine mobile phone use and academic performance	College students (N=536)	Cross-sectional survey	Higher mobile phone use negatively predicts GPA	Cross-sectional design; causality cannot be inferred
Study 3	van der Schuur et al. (2019) [15]	Explore the relationship between digital media use and attention problems	Adolescents (N=1,764)	Longitudinal study	Digital media use predicted later attention problems, but not vice versa	Focuses on attention, not broader productivity loss
Study 4	Kirschner & De Bruyckere (2017) [16]	Critically examine myths around digital natives and multitasking	Review/Meta-analysis	Literature review	Claims about the multitasking abilities of digital natives are overstated	Does not present new empirical data
Study 5	Przybylski & Weinstein (2017) [17]	Assess how digital screen time impacts	UK adolescents (N=120,000+)	Large-scale correlational analysis	Moderate screen time has negligible	Does not focus on a time-wasting specifically

Study	Authors /Year	Objective	Sample /Population	Methodology	Key Findings	Limitations
		psychological well-being			effect on well-being; extreme use can be detrimental	
Study 6	Cain & Gradisar (2010) [18]	Investigate bedtime use of digital devices and its impact on sleep	Adolescents (N=1,125)	Survey and sleep diaries	Nighttime device use leads to reduced sleep, indirectly affecting focus and time use.	Self-report bias; lacks longitudinal data

Some studies find strongly negative impacts of digital use on academic and cognitive achievement, while others indicate only small effects under conditions of moderate use. From self-reports and cross-sectional surveys to longitudinal investigations and meta-analyses, methodology significantly affects conclusions. Many studies, especially those based on correlational data, have limitations in causal inference. Some studies focus on the relationship between multitasking and academic performance, while others focus on mental health or sleep, which makes it difficult to compare studies [19-25].

PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

The proposed method uses a mixed method approach, combining quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews, to triangulate the findings and gain a deeper understanding of digital distractions and time-wasting among young people. We intend to measure the presence and association of digital distraction with other problems by sending questionnaires to a group of chosen participants. Along with surveys, we will conduct interviews to understand individuals' experiences, opinions and strategies for dealing with online distractions. Using multiple

research approaches will improve both the accuracy and dependability of the findings and it will help recommend options for how to address distractions caused by digital devices among young people [26-29].


FIGURE 2: METHODOLOGY

➤ Methodology Design: The methodology for this study was designed by choosing suitable research methods and ways to gather data. We used a special interview guide to find out what and how participants used digital devices and if distraction played a role [30].

➤ Data Collection: Specialists were interviewed in guided, qualitative ways about their experiences. The activity collected many stories from people, showing how diverse their experiences with digital distraction and time management really are [31].

➤ Data Analysis: The study closely looked at the available data by applying both quality and quantity analysis to interpret meaningful and patterned results. This work covered analysis of the data, coding the interviews based on themes and integrating the results to uncover patterns that repeated throughout the answers [32].

➤ Interpretation and Discussion: The study discussed research findings within the

framework of previous literature, linking empirical observation with theoretical frameworks [33].

> Revision and Review: The study practiced iterative revision and improvement of the research paper based on peer, mentor, and reviewer feedback. The iterative process entailed going back and making arguments more secure, explicating interpretations, and refuting methodological or conceptual flaws determined throughout the review process.

The research methodology suggested for studying digital distraction and wasting time among youths includes a multi-dimensional, mixed-methods research design to detect the intricacy of the phenomenon and create implementable knowledge. A mixed-methods study employing quantitative and qualitative methods of data collection will initially be utilized. Surveys will be developed to capture demographic information and assess digital distraction behavior among youths, while qualitative interviews will capture a detailed analysis of individuals' experiences, views, and coping mechanisms for digital distractions. Triangulation of findings from different methodological pathways is intended to achieve an overall understanding of digital distraction and time wastage among young people, paving the way for targeted interventions and measures to mitigate its adverse effects [34].

DATA COLLECTION FRAMEWORK

SURVEY METHODOLOGY

> The survey seeks to evaluate the prevalence and predictors of digital distraction behaviors in adolescents.

> Youth aged between 15-25 years with a heterogeneous sample will be invited from educational institutions, community centers, and online media.

> The survey will contain proven scales to assess digital distraction, time management ability, and subjective well-being. It will also gather demographic data and technology use patterns.

> Online and face-to-face surveys will be used, maintaining anonymity and confidentiality of answers. Participants will be rewarded for enhancing response rates.

> Descriptive statistics, correlational analysis, and possible factor analysis will be used in quantitative analysis to determine the underlying dimensions of digital distraction.

INTERVIEW METHODOLOGY

- ➤ Interviews are designed to capture a detailed understanding of personal experiences, perceptions, and coping mechanisms regarding digital distraction.
- ➤ Respondents to the survey will be recruited as participants voluntarily.
- ➤ Semi-structured interviews will be held with a focus on issues like sources of digital distraction, perceived influence on daily life, and methods of coping with digital distractions.
- ➤ Interviews will be tape-recorded with the permission of the participants and transcribed verbatim for analysis.
- ➤ Thematic coding will be used in qualitative data analysis to determine repeated themes and patterns surrounding digital distraction and time management.

SOCIAL MEDIA ANALYSIS

- ➤ The social media analysis seeks to understand how young people interact with digital technology and the function of social media in digital distraction.
- ➤ Data will be drawn from leading social media sites (e.g., Facebook, Instagram, Twitter) through the utilization of APIs or web scraping tools.
- ➤ Content analysis will be used to establish patterns of social media use, content that tends to draw attention, and possible approaches to minimizing digital distraction across these sites.

INTEGRATION OF FINDINGS

Triangulation of survey, interview, and social media findings will give an overall picture of digital distraction and wastage of time among young people. Findings will be contrasted and compared to establish patterns and individual insights from each method.

ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Informed consent will be provided to all the participants, and privacy and confidentiality will be maintained with them during the research process.

FIGURE 3: DATA COLLECTION FRAMEWORK

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results are based on a survey questionnaire, interview and social media activities performed and ANOVA is applied to data collected through these tools.

RESULTS

The survey-based and performance-based results of 10 groups including 43 members in each group showed distraction with different media and games but also another context of satisfaction involved.

How many hours per day do you estimate you spend on social media platforms?
43 responses

- Less than 1 hour
- 1-2 hours
- 2-4 hours
- More than 4 hours

27.9%
7%
18.6%
46.5%

How often do you feel distracted by notifications or alerts on your digital devices?
43 responses

- Very often
- Often
- Rarely
- Never

51.2%
9.3%
39.5%

What is the primary reason for using social media?
43 responses

- Stay connected with friends
- Entertainment
- Information sharing
- professional purposes

14%
11.6%
60.5%
14%

How often do you experience feelings of anxiety or stress related to your digital device usage?
43 responses

- Very often
- Often
- Rarely
- Never

27.9%
7%
60.5%

Do you think digital distractions affect your academic or work performance?
43 responses

- Yes, significantly
- Yes, moderately
- No, not much
- No, not at all

14%
53.5%
27.9%

Do you set limits or use apps to manage your screen time and digital distractions?
43 responses

- Yes, regularly
- Occasionally, Often
- Rarely
- No, never

32.6%
7%
30.2%
30.2%

Have you ever tried to reduce your digital devices usage?
43 responses

- Yes, and it was successful
- Yes, but it was not successful
- No, but I am interested in trying
- No, and I am not interested

18.6%
14%
9.3%
58.1%

How often do you find yourself checking your phone or other digital devices during study or work?
43 responses

- Very often
- Often
- Rarely
- Never

11.6%
55.8%
27.9%

FIGURE 4: SURVEY RESULTS

What types of digital activities do you find most distracting?
43 responses

FIGURE 5: DISTRACTING ACTIVITIES

How often do you multitask (e.g., using digital devices while studying, watching TV, or eating)?
43 responses

FIGURE 6: MULTITASKING WITH DIGITAL DEVICES

EFFECTIVENESS

A simple method to study the effectiveness of AI games, social media educational games and professional AI-platform apps is finding the completion rate by using formula.

$$Effectiveness = \frac{\text{Number of tasks completed successfully}}{\text{Total number of tasks undertaken}} \times 100\%$$

Participants started eight tasks while using AI games, Digital platforms, and TV professional AI- platforms and most of the participants five tasks completed successfully. Now, calculate the effectiveness

Effectiveness = (268 / 430) * 100

= 62.32%

RESISTIVE APPROACH

On the other hand, the above results of the survey, interview and social media survey, on the behalf of open-ended questions show that with the distraction of AI and Digital media, there is

also a satisfaction level when users play AI games and use AI-platform for their routine works, professional and office work with efficiency and effectiveness as shown in Fig 7 to 8.


FIGURE 7: MEAN VALUE WITHIN GROUPS

**FIGURE 8: SATISFACTION LEVEL WITH DIGITAL AND AI PLATFORMS**

FIGURE 9: SAMPLE VISUALIZATION OF DATA

DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The outcomes of the survey present a worrying scenario of the prevalence and extent of digital distraction and the wastage of time among the youth. That 51% of the respondents indicated often or very often being distracted by alerts or notifications on their digital devices is a reflection of the ubiquitous nature of the digital distractions in their lives. The incessant flow of interruptions tends to severely impede their focus and concentration, ultimately influencing their school or work performance.

Social media has become a prevailing influence in teenagers' online lives, with 60% of them using it mainly for entertainment purposes. This is in line with previous research that emphasizes the attractiveness of social media as a vehicle for instant gratification and distraction. The cost of this overindulgence in social media is that 60% of the sample experienced anxiety or stress related to their use of their digital device. This result highlights the imperative for interventions to assist young people in moderating their digital consumption patterns and placing their well-being first.

Notwithstanding the reported high rates of digital distraction, a disquieting percentage of participants (32%) fail to control or limit their screen use and digital distractions through apps. The failure to proactively put in place management strategies is an indicator of the need for greater awareness and education about the need for digital literacy and self-regulation in today's digital world.

The discovery that 55% of respondents often check their phones or other electronic devices

during work or studying, even more, underscores the difficulty of staying focused and productive against constant digital distractions. This practice does not only interrupt what they are doing at the moment but also leads to the cultivation of a habit of multitasking since 53% of them confirm that they usually multitask when involved in other things. But the above-mentioned survey, interview, and social media survey findings, based on open-ended questions, show that although they are distracted by AI and digital media, users are equally content when they use AI platforms and play AI games to accomplish their daily chores, work professionally, and function in the office efficiently.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

On the basis of these observations, the following suggestions can be presented to counteract digital distraction and wasting time among young people.

➤ Education on Digital Literacy: Conduct educational sessions in schools and communities that create awareness regarding the effect of digital distractions and impart them with methods for controlling screen time and digital activities.

➤ Parental Engagement: Invite parents to supervise and restrict screen time for their children, and also exhibit healthy digital practices themselves.

➤ Technology Design: Encourage technology businesses to design devices and programs with features that enable careful use and restrict distractions, including notification management capabilities and screen time monitoring. Psychological Support: Make available mental health resources and support services for youth who are going through anxiety or stress from the use of their digital devices.

➤ Workplace Policies: Implement workplace policies that encourage the avoidance of digital distractions when working, for instance, setting specific "focus time" with no interruptions.

SUGGESTED FRAMEWORK

➤ In-depth Understanding: The research provides an in-depth understanding of digital distraction and time wastage among youth on the basis of the contributions of cognitive psychology, sociology, and human-computer interaction.

➤ Self-Report Bias: Self-report measures administered in interviews can lead to response biases, e.g., social desirability or inability to recall, which can influence the validity of self-reported digital distraction habits.

➤ Reducing Bias: To limit potential biases in self-report measures, future research could

utilize objective measures of digital distraction, such as tracking patterns of digital device use or employing experimental paradigms to assess attentional control.

➢ Interdisciplinary Approach: With an interdisciplinary approach, the study brings together contributions from various disciplines to de-mystify the intricate dynamics of digital distraction, providing a rich description of the phenomenon.

➢ Restricted Generalizability: The generalizability of findings can be limited by sample characteristics, e.g., regional or cultural differences, and the employment of a pool of predominantly youth participants, which can limit the generalizability of findings to other groups.

➢ Enhancing Generalizability: In order to enhance the generalizability of results, future research can make the population size and diversity larger, for example, spanning across diverse age groups and cultures.

➢ Empirical Investigation: Based on empirical studies through surveys, experiments, and qualitative interviews, the research delineates patterns, predictors, and consequences of digital distraction and time wastage across a variety of contexts, enriching the field with rich empirical data.

➢ Time Sensitivity: The rapid development of technology and internet trends has the potential to render certain results time-sensitive and demand continuous monitoring and revision of intervention plans to remain effective in the ever-dynamic digital landscape.

➢ Undergraduate Studies: Undergraduate studies may prove useful in seeing the changing nature of digital distraction over time, including understanding how digital habits change and how interventions can be planned to adapt to these changes.

➢ Evidence-based interventions: This study suggests evidence-based interventions to mitigate the negative impacts of digital disruption, use technology more wisely and enhance personal well-being and productivity.

➢ Collaborative research: People who create policies, and technology and conduct research can work together, using evidence from research, to manage digital disruption and develop approaches to manage its problems.

➢ Practical recommendations: This study presents recommendations for anyone who cares about technological disruption, with practical steps that can be taken to address it.

➢ Continuous monitoring: Monitoring activities on the internet must continue as technology

develops so that any future concerns can be found and correction methods can be updated.

➢ Education and awareness: People should understand the influence of digital disruption and how to use technology wisely to reduce any harmful impacts.

➢ Technological solutions: Technology is being developed to help people use it safely, manage disturbances from technology and support education with real-life approaches.

➢ Regulatory action: To promote responsible technology, policymakers can establish rules such as screen time limits and encourage creators to focus on less distracting technologies.

CONCLUSION

The study results show that many young people are affected by digital distractions and suggest that focusing on this challenge with certain measures is important. A main finding was that a large number of young people are regularly distracted by notifications and alerts they get on their digital screens. A large number of participants said they often used social media apps to distract themselves or for entertainment. Using digital devices often makes young people anxious and stressed, according to the study. As a result, many young people felt more anxious and struggled to perform well in school, showing it is necessary to help them develop better digital habits and learn how to control themselves. It was found that not many participants took steps to limit their device time or use helpful apps. It is clear from this lack of interest that we need to do more to educate people about the proper use of technology. After that, special actions and guidelines should be put in place to maintain healthy habits among youth. Examples include including digital literacy classes, involving parents in setting limits on screens, building technology that helps users and giving support to anyone encountering online stress. If these issues are addressed, young people may learn to act more responsibly online which can lead to better social and productive outcomes. Based on open-ended questions in the above survey, interview and social media survey, users seem very happy with AI games and platforms for working on tasks at home or work.

REFERENCES

[1]   S. Turkle, *Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other*. New York: Basic Books, 2011.

[2]   C. Nass, et al., *The Man Who Lied to His Laptop: What Machines Teach Us About Human Relationships*. New York: Penguin Books, 2011.

[3]   L. D. Rosen, et al., "Media Multitasking, Mindfulness, and Attention: A Study of Digital Distraction," *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 948-958, 2013.

[4]   J. M. Twenge, *iGen: Why Today's Super-Connected Kids Are Growing Up Less Rebellious, More Tolerant, Less Happy--and Completely Unprepared for Adulthood--and What That Means for the Rest of Us*. New York: Atria Books, 2017.

[5]   A. K. Przybylski and N. Weinstein, "Digital Screen Time Limits and Young Children's Psychological Well-Being: Evidence from a Population-Based Study," *Child Development*, vol. 88, no. 1, pp. 56-68, 2017.

[6]   E. Kross, et al., "Facebook Use Predicts Declines in Subjective Well-Being in Young Adults," *PLOS ONE*, vol. 8, no. 8, p. e69841, 2013.

[7]   M. Anderson and J. Jiang, *Teens, social media & technology 2018*. Pew Research Center, 2018.

[8]   V. Rideout and M. B. Robb, *Social Media, Social Life: Teens Reveal Their Experiences*. Common Sense Media, 2018.

[9]   D. Boyd, *It's complicated: The social lives of networked teens*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 2014.

[10]   M. Richtel, "A Silicon Valley school that doesn't compute," *The New York Times*, 2015.

[11]   L. D. Rosen, *iDisorder: Understanding our obsession with technology and overcoming its hold on us*. New York: Macmillan, 2012.

[12]   N. Carr, *The shallows: What the Internet is doing to our brains*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company, 2011.

[13]   L. Lepp, A. Barkley, and J. Salehi-Esfahani, "Mobile phone use and academic performance: A meta-analysis," Computers in Human Behavior, vol. 43, pp. 151-159, 2015.

[14]   S. van der Schuur, et al., "The relationship between digital media use and attention problems in adolescents: A prospective cohort study," Journal of Behavioral Addictions, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 418-428, 2019.

[15]   P. A. Kirschner and W. De Bruyckere, "The myths of the digital native and the multitasker," Teaching and Teacher Education, vol. 67, pp. 135-142, 2017.

[16]   A. K. Przybylski and N. Weinstein, "A Large-Scale Test of the Goldilocks Hypothesis: Quantifying the Relations Between Digital-Screen Use and the Mental Well-Being of Adolescents," Psychological Science, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 204-215, 2017.

[17]   N. Cain and M. Gradisar, "Electronic media use and sleep in adolescents: A review," Sleep Medicine Reviews, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 339-344, 2010.

[18]   L. E. Levine and B. M. Waite, "Television viewing and attentional abilities in fourth- and eighth-grade children," *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 667-679, 2000.

[19]   V. J. Rideout, U. G. Foehr, and D. F. Roberts, *Generation M2: Media in the lives of 8-to 18-year-olds*. Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation, 2010.

[20]   J. S. Radesky, J. Schumacher, and B. Zuckerman, "Mobile and interactive media use by young children: the good, the bad, and the unknown," *Pediatrics*, vol. 135, no. 1, pp. 1-3, 2015.

[21]   M. Csikszentmihalyi, *Flow: The psychology of optimal experience*. New York: Harper & Row, 1990.

[22]   D. A. Gentile, P. J. Lynch, J. R. Linder, and D. A. Walsh, "The effects of violent video game habits on adolescent hostility, aggressive behaviors, and school performance," *Journal of Adolescence*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 5-22, 2004.

[23]   W. Hofmann, R. F. Baumeister, G. Förster, and K. D. Vohs, "Everyday temptations: an experience sampling study of desire, conflict, and self-control," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, vol. 102, no. 6, p. 1318, 2012.

[24]   G. J. Hwang, P. H. Wu, and C. C. Chen, "An online game approach for improving students' learning performance in web-based problem-solving activities," *Computers & Education*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 1246-1256, 2012.

[25]   P. A. Kirschner and A. C. Karpinski, "Facebook® and academic performance," *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1237-1245, 2010.

[26]   A. Oulasvirta, T. Rattenbury, L. Ma, and E. Raita, "Habits make smartphone use more pervasive," *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 105-114, 2012.

[27]   B. A. Primack, B. Swanier, A. M. Georgiopoulos, S. R. Land, and M. J. Fine, "Association between media use in adolescence and depression in young adulthood: a longitudinal study," *Archives of General Psychiatry*, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 181-188, 2009.

[28]   K. Subrahmanyam and P. Greenfield, "Online communication and adolescent relationships," *The Future of Children*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 119-146, 2008.

[29]   D. Boyd and N. Ellison, "Social network sites: Definition, history, and scholarship," *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 210-230, 2008.

[30]   K. Hamid et al., "Usability Evaluation of Mobile Banking Applications in Digital

Business as Emerging Economy," p. 250, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.22937/IJCSNS.2022.22.2.32.

[31]   K. Hamid, M. waseem Iqbal, Z. Nazir, H. Muhammad, and Z. Fuzail, "Usability Empowered By User's Adaptive Features In Smart Phones: The RSM Approach," Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology, vol. 55, pp. 285–304, Jul. 2022, doi: 10.17605/OSF.IO/6RUZ5

[32]   A. Ibrahim et al., "Usability Evaluation of Kids' Learning Apps," in 2023 International Conference on Business Analytics for Technology and Security (ICBATS), Mar. 2023, pp. 1–10. doi: 10.1109/ICBATS57792.2023.10111473.

[33]   K. Hamid, H. Muhammad, M. waseem Iqbal, A. Nazir, shazab, and H. Moneeza, "ML-Based Meta Model Evaluation Of Mobile Apps Empowered Usability Of Disables," Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology, vol. 56, pp. 50–68, Jan. 2023.

[34]   K. Hamid et al., "ML-based Meta-Model Usability Evaluation of Mobile Medical Apps," International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications (IJACSA), vol. 15, no. 1, Art. no. 1, 33/30 2024, doi: 10.14569/IJACSA.2024.0150104.